The Second Annual Conference of Deans and Advisers of Men Held at the University of Illinois, February 20-22, 1920

Plans began in the latter part of 1919. On December 11, 1919, Dean Clark (Illinois) wrote to Dean Goodnight (Wisconsin):

Urbana, Illinois
December 11, 1919

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Dean Goodnight,-

I have spoken to the President about notifying the deans of men or those occupying a similar position in the various colleges in the middle west, to meet at Illinois shortly after the opening of the second semester, and he agrees that it would be a very good thing.

Since you were in charge of the other meeting, I should be glad if you would select a suitable time following the opening of college the second semester and convey to the various officials my invitation for them to meet here at that time which seems to you most suitable; or if you prefer and will give me the names of those whom you think ought to be invited, I will do so, if it occurs to you that this is proper.

Very sincerely yours,

Thomas Arkle Clark

Several letters were exchanged in arranging for this time of the meeting, and the people to be invited:

Madison, Wisconsin
December 15, 1919

Dean T. A. Clark
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

My dear Dean Clarke:

I shall be very pleased indeed to be of any service to you I can in calling together the deans and advisers of men of the middle west. I shall be glad to follow your suggestion of forwarding your invitation to them if you deem that desirable, but I should certainly first wish to agree with you upon a time for the meeting. Our semester ends on February 12, but as for myself, I could very well attend a meeting the week-end of February 6 or 7. I could not well be away on the 13 and 14, but could be with you on the 20 and 21 if that were desirable. So far as I know, too, I could come any week-end in January.
Let me know which date suits you best, and I will transmit to all of the men your kind invitation.

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Urbana, Illinois

December 17, 1919

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Dean Goodnight,

I have your letter of the 15th. I think February 20-22 would be the best time for me to have the meeting of the Deans of Men. If you agree to call such a meeting and give me the list of names of people you think ought to be there, I will do it. I think, however, the best way would be for you to do this.

Very sincerely yours,

Thomas Arkle Clark

Madison, Wisconsin

December 19, 1919

Dean Thomas A. Clark
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

My Dear Dean Clarke:

I have sent out this message:

The University of Illinois thru Dean of Men Thomas A. Clark extends to the Deans and Advisers of Men in other institutions an invitation to meet in conference at the University of Illinois, Urbana, from February 20 to 22, 1920. I am certain that no one of us will be willing to forego the pleasure and profit of this meeting, and I trust that I may have the pleasure of an acceptance from you at an early date. Will you kindly send at the same time any questions you may have to suggest as timely topics for discussion? Dean Clark has asked me to forward the invitation in his name which I take great pleasure in doing.

In the hope of meeting you in Urbana on February 20 and in anticipation of an inspiring meeting, I am,
This has gone out to the following men:

Dean Priest, University of Washington
Dean W. A. Rawles, University of Indiana, Bloomington
Dean C. R. Melcher, Lexington, Ky.
Dean of Men, Grinnell College, Grinnell, Iowa
Dean E. E. Nicholson, University of Minnesota
Dean Robert Rienow, State University of Iowa, Iowa City
Professor Leslie J. Feed, Iowa State Teachers College
Professor W. M. Smallwood, Syracuse University
Professor L. A. Strauss, University of Michigan

I shall keep you posted as to acceptances and topics proposed. If you know of other men who should be included in this list, please do not hesitate to notify them, or if you wish, forward me their names in order that I may do so. I feel certain that we shall have from ten to a dozen institutions represented this time and that it will be an extremely profitable session.

If there is anything at all that I can do to contribute to the success of the meeting, kindly let me know about it.

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Urbana, Illinois
December 22, 1919

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Dean Goodnight,-

I have your letter of December 19. I should like to ask Dean A. R. Warnock of State College, Pennsylvania, and Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue. Perhaps I shall think of some others later, and I should be glad if you would write them. I shall try to arrange for the details of the meeting after I hear from you further.

Very sincerely yours,

Thomas Arkle Clark

On December 24, 1919, Dean Robert Rienow of the University of Iowa wrote to Dean Clark (Illinois) enclosing a copy of a letter to Dean Goodnight (Wisconsin) relative to the coming meeting. His letter of transmittal to Dean Clark (Illinois) stated:
I just received a letter from Dean Goodnight of Wisconsin, extending the invitation to meet at Urbana, February 20-22, and I assure you that I shall be very glad to be present. I am enclosing a copy of the letter I sent to Goodnight in reply to certain questions of his……

I believe the suggestion made in my letter to Goodnight would be worthwhile considering, that even though institutions do not have an official dean of men, it would be well for them to know of the meeting that is held, that they may send some member of the faculty who is interested in officially connected with the kind of work that we are interested in.

I thank you very much for your letter on this question of scholarship. It will help a great deal and I hope to be able to send you a more definite report some time during January.

With best wishes, I am
Yours very truly,
Robert Rienow

The enclosed letter made a number of suggestions in regard to the program for the second meeting:

Iowa City, Iowa
December 24, 1919

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

My Dear Dean Goodnight:

Your letter of December 19 containing the announcement that our meeting of Deans of Men will be held with Dean Clarke at Illinois this year was very gratefully received. I am indeed very pleased that these meetings are not to be discontinued, and I believe, from letters I have received from those who were at the meeting last year and from others who would like to have been, that this will be an even more successful on than we had last year.

I have been thinking considerably as to the matter of program, and note that what you say in regard to questions and topics of discussion. While the meeting last year was a splendid inspiration to me and to all who attend it, I cannot but feel that something of an organized program with a little more definite statement of problems would be more satisfactory. In other words, I hope that these sessions may result in
some constructive work along the lines in which we are interested. I believe this can be
brought about more largely by definite statements of policies pursued in the various
institutions. I am not certain but what it would be advisable for either you or Clarke to
formulate something of a program, assigning topics to different men and asking them to
definitely prepare papers containing data, policies and recommendations.

For instance, we might discuss the fraternity situation to the end of time without
arriving anywhere. The same is likely to be true in the matter of handling scholarship,
delinquencies, attendance, social life, but if we had some way of summarizing the
method of procedure in the various institutions represented, we might be able to reach
some conclusions.

Another plan that has suggested itself to me is the advisability of selecting
several of the outstanding problems that are always with us and having each
representative prepare a statement on each one of them as to the policies pursued in
his institution. If these are typewritten in form and concrete in character, we might be
able to get at the controversial points more definitively and possibly recommend
suggestions to the administrations of our various institutions.

I had in mind to suggest that we should hope not to standardize administration
of these problems, but I am sure we never will get anywhere unless we know rather
intimately the manner in which these problems are being handled in the various
institutions. Personally, I received a great deal of benefit from the discussions that were
given last year, and I look forward certainly with a great deal of pleasure to the meeting
this year. I certainly hope that arrangements can be made to use every minute of the
two days we are in session.

Another plan that has come to my mind would be the plan of having men
present papers on the character of the work that is interesting them the most, and the
policies pursued in their particular institution. This is quite similar to the former
suggestion I have made, but differs in this respect; that in my former suggestion the
plan would be to have each representative prepare statements on all the topics that
might be assigned by some person who made out the program. This latter suggestion
would involve each representative preparing discussion on the problems that were of
especial interest in his institution and the attitude of the institution toward them. For
instance, this year the University of Iowa has had a difficult housing problem on its
hands, which we have partially solved by the erecting of the dormitory for men, housing
at present 300 men. We have likewise established a student health service which is
interesting us a great deal. I think last year I outlined to you something of our policy
regarding handling fraternity scholarship house conditions and business administration.
We are still continuing that policy and are greatly encouraged by results. Other
institutions might have their problems. I think we will all find that the question of
discipline is one that has presented itself more acutely this year than any other year. At
least, that is so at the University of Iowa. I would like personally to hear the experiences of other men along this line.

I hope that we can arrange a program. I believe that we can more than double our attendance, and I am sure that with Clarke’s assistance nearly every institution in the central west of any size, even though they do not have a dean of men, will send a representative as Michigan did last year in the person of Prof. Strauss. I presume you received a copy of the Michigan Alumnus containing the report of their committee and the recommendation that a dean of men be appointed for that institution. If our meeting of last year did no more than inspire that report, it was worth while. I have received letters during the past year from several men stating that they were sorry that they did not know of our meeting, as they would have been glad to attend.

Let me hear from you as soon as possible on your reaction towards these suggestions, and if I can be of any assistance, feel free to say how.

With best wishes and season’s greetings, I am

Sincerely yours,

Robert Rienow

Dean Goodnight (Wisconsin) reported to Dean Clark (Illinois) on January 20, 1920, that he had made considerable progress in plans for the second meeting:

I am glad to report progress in the matter of our meeting of deans and advisers of men at which you are to be the host as follows:

Dean C. E. Edmondson, Indiana
Professor Louis A. Strauss, University of Michigan
Professor D. D. Griffith, Grinnell
Dean Robert Rienow, University of Iowa
Dean A. R. Warnock, Pennsylvania State College
Dean Arthur R. Priest, Washington
Dean C. R. Melcher, University of Kentucky
Dean Stanley Coulter, Purdue

I have not yet heard from Dean E. E. Nicholson of Minnesota but I certainly expect him to be present. Professor Smallwood who attended from Syracuse last year writes that he is no longer in that work, and we have notified his successor, but have not yet heard from him. President Judson of Chicago writes “if it is practical at that date, I shall ask one of our deans to be with you.”
Including yourself and myself, there are certain to be ten of us at the conference, and I think there is to be a good prospect of having at least two, possibly three more.

Would you dare to undertake it if there were thirteen of us?

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Then followed an exchange of letters between Deans Goodnight (Wisconsin) and Clark (Illinois) in regard to the program of the coming meeting:

Urbana, Illinois
January 22, 1920

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Dean Goodnight,-

I have your letter of January 20. I am wondering if you have thought of any specific program to be outlined?

Should I make reservations at the hotel for these men, or will they take care of this themselves? It is really the part of wisdom to make these reservations ahead of time.

I should not mind at all if there were thirteen of us here. I am not superstitious.

Very sincerely yours,

Thomas Arkle Clark

Madison, Wisconsin
Thursday
February 5, 1920

Dean T. A. Clark
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

My dear Dean Clarke:
I think it would be wise for you to engage accommodations for eight to ten men for the time of the conference February 20, 21 and 22. Personally I expect to stay with friends, you need not engage rooms for me, but I think it would be well to have eight or ten rooms.

Altho I have not received your acceptance, I am counting on you for a paper on the fraternity situation to open the conference. We have a goodly number of acceptances and a number of men have agreed to give talks. I shall soon be able to send you a good program.

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Urbana, Illinois
February 10, 1920

Dean S.H. Goodnight
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Dean Goodnight,-

I have your letter of the 5the of February. I notice you say that the convention will be held February 20, 21, and 22...

I am not at all sure that I can give you a paper on the fraternity situation, but I will give you a talk......

Very sincerely yours,

Thomas Arkle Clark

Madison, Wisconsin
Thursday
February 12, 1920

Dean T. A. Clark
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

Dear Dean:

Enclosed please find a program and list of acceptances for our conference at Urbana on February 20 and 21. Please do not consider yourself limited to the subjects included; we shall undoubtedly find an opportunity to introduce and discuss others.
Write Dean Clark for room reservations in Urbana. We shall try to meet in Dean Clark’s office for organization on Friday morning at 9:20. I hope you will be in at both the start and the finish.

In anticipation of a profitable session, I am

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Professor Louis A. Strauss of the University of Michigan wrote to Dean Clark (Illinois) on February 16, 1920, that “the program looks very good to me and I anticipate an interesting and profitable discussion. In case we run short of ammunition, I have several sticks of dynamite up my sleeve.”

The second annual meeting was accordingly held at Urbana on February 20, and 21, and the minutes of this meeting were send out by Dean Goodnight (Wisconsin) soon afterwards:

Madison, Wisconsin
April 20, 1920

Dean T. A. Clark
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

My dear Dean Clark:

In spite of good resolutions, the task of drafting minutes of our splendid little meeting at Urbana and getting them mailed has dragged along until the spring vacation. I enclose you herewith a copy of a tentative draft of these minutes. I hope you will be good enough to go thru it and make suggestions for its improvement.

Please observe that you are asked for a copy of your letter to the fraternities last year. If I have distorted your excellent presentation of the fraternity question please do not hesitate to amend it in any way you may see fit.

Very cordially yours,

S. H. Goodnight

Secretary’s Report of the
SECOND CONFERENCE OF DEANS AND ADVISERS OF MEN

Held at
The University of Illinois
February 20, 21, 1920

The time chosen for the conference proved unfavorable, both because the beginning of the new semester is an exceedingly busy time in the offices of all deans and advisers of men and because of the “flu” epidemic which was present in many parts of the country and which had some effect upon attendees.

The several institutions were represented as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Dean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The University of Illinois</td>
<td>T.A. Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Dean</td>
<td>H.B. Garman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Indiana</td>
<td>Clarence Edmondson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Iowa</td>
<td>H.I. Rietz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Kentucky</td>
<td>C.R. Melcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Michigan</td>
<td>L.A. Strauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Minnesota</td>
<td>E.E. Nicholson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue University</td>
<td>Stanley Coulter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Wisconsin</td>
<td>S.H. Goodnight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dean Robert Rienow of Iowa, A.R. Warnock of Penn State College, and A.R. Priest of the University of Washington, as well as representatives who were to have been designated by several other institutions were unfortunately unable to be present.

Organization was effected Friday morning with S.H. Goodnight and L.A. Strauss of last year’s conference as acting chairman and secretary respectively. It was moved and carried that the work of the conference should be regularly carried on annually, the secretary, to serve for three years, and a third member, to be chosen annually. The officers for the current year were then chosen, Dean T.A. Clark being elected chairman, Dean S.H. Goodnight secretary, and Dean Robert Rienow member of the executive committee (Prof. H.I. Rietz to act for Dean Rienow in his absence). The executive committee was commissioned to select the time and place for next year’s meeting. Invitations were extended by the universities of Iowa, Kentucky and Purdue. At a subsequent session the committee recommended Iowa City as the place and late April or early May of 1921 as the time for the next meeting. Adopted.
The question as to what extent we shall attempt to increase the attendance at these meetings was introduced, but no decision was reached: the executive committee was instructed to exercise its own discretion in this matter for the coming year.

The following program which has been proposed for the meeting was then carried thru. Professor Rietz presenting Dean Rienow’s paper and Professor Strauss and Dean Clark leading the discussion of the topics assigned to Dean Priest.

FRATERNITY PROBLEMS

At the University of Illinois, all fraternities were taboo until 1891. Dean Clark has been continuously connected with the university since that date and has seen the founding of all organizations now in existence there. These total eighty organizations occupying separate houses. Forty-two of them are national social fraternities.

At Illinois, the fraternity men dominate the social and political activities of the student body. There is little opportunity for leadership outside of the fraternities for the reason that as soon as a non-fraternity student begins to become prominent in any field, he is immediately asked and pledged by some fraternity. Dean Clark estimates that about 30% of the men in the
university are fraternity men, and that this one-third carried the bulk of the student activities, the remaining two-thirds of men doing comparatively little.

The fraternities have been very helpful:

a) In providing good board and lodging for a larger portion of the student body. At the time of the mobilization of the S.A.T.C. in September, 1918, they rendered distinguished service in this direction, saving the university from a painful situation

b) In serving as the instruments thru which student sentiment may be effectively influenced. Dean Clark tries to know all fraternity men and to maintain good relationships with them.

Prior to the way, the fraternities, under the pressure of publicity, were slowly bettering their scholarship. But the fraternity men were the very first to enter the service and they went in proportionately the greater numbers. The results was that during the years 1917-1918, 1918-1919, the chapters had little leadership, maintained little discipline, and in consequence are still in a state of demoralization. In general, the close of the war was followed by a sentiment of revolt against discipline, and the fraternities and sororities are seriously affected by this tendency. The now think they want to go back to the pre-way status, but they do not really know what the pre-war status was. They conceive of it as a joyous time when the lid was off and hence are struggling to return to it. Eccentric dancing, cigarette smoking among women, pressure for later hours for dances and booze smuggling are phases of this attempt to return to halcyon days of yore---as they are now conceived of.

The scholastic average of the fraternities has gone down badly. Last spring they were:

General average of the whole student body 3.38
General average of all men students 3.23
General average of all frat men 3.02
Highest frat average 3.38
Lowest frat average 2.56

The sophomore class of the current academic year, which came in as freshman under S.A.T.C regime, is the worst class, in Dean Clark’s opinion, the university has had in years. They
have no discipline and don’t want any. The casualties at the end of the first semester (Jan. 1920) were many.

The fraternities are very desirous of social activities, this year, and are restive under regulations. The rules in effect are: social functions may be held on Friday and Saturday nights only. Each organization may give not more than two parties in a given semester. Of the four given during the year, one may be a formal extending until two o’clock, all others must close at 12. Chaperones must be approved by the Dean of Women.

Last Fall, Dean Clark sent to each fraternity chapter in the university a copy of the following letter:

Urbana, Illinois
Nov. 20, 1920.

To fraternity Presidents:

I should like to present you in writing as briefly as possible what at the meeting of fraternity presidents last night I said or suggested in regard to the present fraternity situation. I shall be obliged to you if, at the next regular meeting of your chapter, you would read this letter to the members assembled.

I want to make it clear at the outset that I have the utmost friendliness toward fraternities. No one in this institution has stood for them more strongly than I have. I believe on the whole that they are a good thing, and that we should be badly handicapped without them.

The fraternity men went into war in proportionately greater numbers than did other college men. This left the fraternities, for the last two years at least, without proper management and without proper control. Fraternities have not taught their men self-discipline. They have disciplined them, or attempted to do so with a rather ridged hand. The underclassman in fraternities has not been taught to express an opinion, to direct his own activities, nor has he been allowed to do so. The low scholarship of fraternity freshmen at the present time is largely because freshman are not permitted to study in fraternity houses. They are made the servants and the errand boys of every other man in the house whether they have work to do or not. It is no wonder that their scholarship is low. When the war came on,
fraternities had few men to exercise discipline over the underclassmen, and the underclassmen exercised very little over themselves. The result is that the present junior and sophomore class are undisciplined; they are the loafers of the college.

There is a growing sentiment against fraternities all over the country among men who do not belong to these organizations. There is a growing opposition to them among the public in general and among the members of state legislatures. There is some reason for this. The scholarship of fraternity men has been low, considerably lower in fact, than that of the average men in college. Fraternity social activities have increased. It is the fraternity men who do a large percentage of the dancing that is done in college and who are clamoring for more opportunity to dance. Fraternity men are spending money unwisely and selfishly. They are selfish in their social activities also, and a man outside of the fraternity has little opportunity unless he is unusually aggressive, for social pleasure or social preferment.

This situation must change in this institution and all over the country or the fraternity will have to go. The scholarship of the fraternity must be brought up to the general college average or above it. Social activities must be curtailed. The extravagant expenditure of money must be reduced. The fraternity man must be more democratic and less selfish. His moral and social ideal must be raised. He must be less a loafer and more of a student.

This condition will not be brought about by the rigid discipline of freshmen alone, although that will help. The responsibility is upon the upperclassman to study as many hours a week and to be in his room as many hours a week as the freshman is required to be. He may lay down rules, but he must follow them himself. Until the upperclassmen will willingly act as example to the underclassmen of unselfish good manners, of regular study, or respect for house rules, and a regard for high moral ideals, the fraternities are not going to improve. I want them very much to improve. I shall do anything in my power to bring about a better social, moral and intellectual condition here, and I ask for the earnest cooperation of every loyal fraternity man.

Very sincerely yours,

THOMAS ARKLE CLARK
The effects of this letter and of other influences which have been brought to bear on the fraternity group, have undoubtedly been good. Dean Clark submitted the following:

RULES AS ADOPTED BY THE PAN HELLENIC COUNCIL OF THE UNIV. OF ILLINOIS

1. The standard average for members of this council shall be 3.0 literal.
2. All members of the council failing to comply with the prescribed standard shall go on trial for the semester next following.
3. If at the end of the trial semester those members on trial fail to equal the required standard of scholarship, they shall be automatically suspended from this council and from all activities over which this council has control.
4. Any member so suspended for failure to comply with the fore-going regulations may be reinstated only upon written recommendation from the office of the Dean of Men, which recommendation shall state that the average of that fraternity for the previous semester equals the prescribed Pan-Hellenic standard.
5. All suspensions for failure to comply with the fore-going regulations shall be given full publicity.

There is no question in Dean Clark’s mind that the fraternities are beginning to assume a less recalcitrant attitude and that they will eventually “come back” to a status fully as good as their ante-bellum condition.

In the information discussion of Dean Clark’s remarks, it developed that Iowa requires the chapter to maintain a certain average before it may initiate new men. Now that certain chapters have failed to meet the requirement, there is a great agitation to change the rule. (A set of resolutions which is up for adoption at Iowa has been received from Dean Rienow subsequent to our meeting. It shows a determination on the part of the Faculty to maintain the rule.) Iowa was the only institution represented which placed the requirement on the chapter instead of on the individual.

Indiana requires freshmen to pass all their hours in order to be eligible for initiation.

At Illinois he must be passed in 11 hours.

At Michigan the requirement is the same as at Illinois.

At Minnesota he must pass 75% of his work for one quarter
At Kentucky he must make an average of C.

At Purdue, he is eligible if he has no unsatisfied condition on his record.

At Wisconsin he is eligible if not on probation.

SURVEY OF HEALTH SUPERVISION SYSTEMS

HEALTH SUPERVISION IN COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

By Dean Robert Rienow

(Presented by Professor Rietz)

In view of the inability of the writer to prepare the proper paper for presentation of a survey of health reports made by various universities a year ago, I am asking our representative, Professor Reitz, to bring to you the report made by a committee appointed last year, of which the writer was chairman, to present this entire matter to the president of the Board of Education for consideration and action. The result of this report was the establishment of a student health fee, payable by each student at the University, $1.75 per quarter, the establishment of a student health physician, together with a competent assistant, and also a practicing physician, a sanitary inspector and two nurses. There was also established a student dispensary open all day, to which students might come for examination, help, advice, or simple treatment.

This fee also entitled the student who was sick in his room to call the student health physician or his assistant for examination, simple treatment, or reference to the hospital. So far this fee has not entitled the student to hospital care. The student going to a hospital is still required to pay regular hospital charges, not including any charges for medical or surgical attention or medicine. These are, and always have been, free, even when given by the head of a department. For example, a student may be taken ill at this room. He calls the student health physician. An examination is made and the case is diagnosed as acute appendicitis. The student is immediately taken to the University hospital, put under the care of the head of the department of surgery. Either he or his immediate assistant performs the operation, the student is given his room in the hospital, the bill being simply for room service, that is room and board. It has frequently been the case that a student would come out of an operation of this
kind for as low as $20.00. The total hospital bill for 21 students for the year 1917-1918 was $6,611.05. The average bill for men students was $24.20 and for women students $28.39.

We have, however, been met with the peculiar attitude, common I think to faculties of medical colleges or of some departments in these colleges, who feel that the student who comes to a University is simply a citizen and therefore that the college of Medicine, or its hospital, are under no obligation or responsibility to treat him other than as a citizen. May I say in this connection that as a result of the survey made of about sixty of the leading institutions there was a growing feeling that the institution was responsible in a very large degree, not only for the care of the student when sick, but in maintaining proper health conditions while he was well.

Large programs for caring for student health are under way at the University of Minnesota and the University of Wisconsin. As I have indicated in the report of this committee, Michigan has already done a splendid work, without exception, I think so far the best of any institution reporting, although Minnesota, with its new health program, bids fair to set the standard.

One question which the University of Iowa will meet during the coming year or two will be to so organization the health fee that it shall become practically a hospital insurance fee, and shall provide for all hospital and medical treatment for students who need same. We thought it wise to begin on a small small scale in order to provide an adequate fund for operation so that it will be eventually become a system of student hospital insurance.

Another result of our experience in the University of Iowa has been that of an infirmary for students separate from a University Hospital attached to the Medical College, where such hospital and college exists, is very undesirable. In many institutions, the student health fee provides for what might be termed a “Contract Surgeon” or physician. The plan in the University of Iowa will be to use to the largest degree the valuable skilled training of the heads of departments in the University for all cases that need their attention, and any hospital or infirmary erected for students should be under the direct charge of the University hospital. Provision should be made for students to use such infirmary either for mild illness or for longer and more serious cases, but it has seemed to be a mistake to separate the care of student
health from a medical college or hospital where such exist. Of course in the cases of institutions not supporting a college of medicine, the care of student health must be upon a different basis and the infirmary in all probability open to local practice. In such cases, it would be doubtful as to whether a plan of health insurance could be devised which would guarantee medical and surgical treatment of a superior quality, although hospital insurance might very well be a part of the health plan.

STUDENT STANDARDS

Dean Coulter began by pointing out the great displacement of ethical standards and values in the public mind today as compared with a few years since, and considered it only natural that we should find a reflex of these things among students. We can pardon their shortcomings more readily than we could do if their elders were setting them a better example.

Students have caught the idea that youth is after all the ultimate hope of the world. It was youth which was called upon in the time of great need to go forth and die if need be that the nation might live. They went and submitted to every requirement of a rigorous discipline for the duration of the war, but now, returned to civilian life again, they are more restive and impatient than ever before of the restraints.

But this restlessness and impatience is unfortunately accompanied by a lowering of standards of work and conduct which is deplorable. Their standards of

(a) quality of college work are low
(b) integrity in their work are low
(c) ethical conduct are low.

a. They appear to be utterly lacking in scholarly enthusiasm. To be sure, our institutions may share blame with them in this, for we are unable at present to provide a sufficient number of adequately trained teachers who are capable of awakening scholarly enthusiasm. But even those teachers who are well trained and enthusiastic are unable to do much with the present day students. The student standard of achievement in classroom work is distressingly low. The idea seems to be to “get by”, i.e., just over the dead line, is customary,
and felt to be respectable. Men get elected to honor societies on grades which would not have sufficed before the war; and then they often go down sadly in their work afterwards.

Cons and fails apparently have no unfavorable effect upon a man’s social, political or fraternity standing nor upon his general respectability. The group standards, too, are low, possibly even lower than the individual standards. A large percentage of fraternity men and sorority women are delinquent at Purdue. Still these delinquents do not lose oast in any way, and their poor scholarship seems to be no handicap whatever to them in any other field. A few hopeful symptoms are perceptible, however, of late in the cancellation of pledges and even of membership by a few fraternities because of delinquent scholarship.

The freshman are systematically demoralized at the outset of their college course by rushing, pledging, hazing, football, etc., all of which naturally tends to lower their standards. They adapt themselves quickly to almost any new environment, but they of course drop to a lower plane much more readily than they rise to a higher. Beyond doubt, the standard of conduct prevalent among college students, as it presents itself to him during the first two or three weeks of his freshman year, is lower than that of the high school from which he so recently graduated. This first impression is unquestionably a very serious disadvantage.

The group and individual standards of integrity are also low, cribbing is distressingly prevalent. This form of dishonesty is condoned by good students even and the only discredit connected with it in the student mind is in allowing oneself to get caught. They bring the practice in with them from the high school where it flourishes. The effects of this dishonesty in connection with the low standards of scholarship which are prevalent are a serious menace to the aims of the college life. The struggle against these things is worthy of our best efforts. Theoretically the honor system is good, but it would be folly to attempt its introduction while present views prevail. An attempt at Purdue proved unsuccessful.

Ethical standards are low. Kipling remarks upon “how many things are which no decent fellow could do”. Not so with our students. They seem rather to observe how many things there are which a decent fellow can get away with.
There has been a great wave of stealing and pilfering in our colleges. In the army a man was supposed to keep his kit complete. If anything was missing, he promptly stole a substitute from his neighbor. This practice seems now to have been brought over into student life.

Our important task as advisors of men is the attack along ethical lines. Other standards are to be raised best in this way. We must recognize the general state of demoralization and not judge too harshly, but we must no less recognize that this matter of low standards is our gravest problem.

Dean Coulter’s paper struck responsive chords in the minds of all present and he was repeatedly compelled to suspend operations indefinitely (which he did with admirable good nature) while his colleagues aired their views in matters he had brought up. One of the lyric intermezzos concerned the grade point system in use at Iowa, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. Another revolved about the value vs the utter futility of talks to freshmen by the President or the Dean of Men, as practiced in virtually all of the universities. Opinion varied enormously as to the efficiency of these freshmen lectures. A third subject was the honor system, not generally in practice in this part of the country. Illinois, however, is now trying it out. Dean Clark was non-committal as to the success of the system. The student committee has tried 60 cases and send away ten students, all freshmen. A student who is convicted may appeal to the faculty. Minnesota has experimented with the honor system in past years. Some success was achieved before the war but the situation is at present very unsatisfactory.

STUDENT SELF-GOVERNMENT

Dean Nicolson described the form of self-government as it began at Minnesota. The penalties were originally too drastic, but they were subsequently tempered. There are student councils in the various colleges to act as intermediaries between the faculty and the students. When the term “student government” began to assume a dangerous significance, they began to talk about “student cooperation” and the effect of this change has been good. To obviate the working at cross purposes of the various college councils, an all-university council is now forming which will be composed of the presidents of the various college councils. The council takes care of the elections, eligibility of candidates for officerships of classes and their organizations, and dates for events.
At Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, dates are set and eligibility is determined by a faculty committee or representative.

 Petty graft in financial matters causes considerable difficulty at several of the institutions. At Minnesota various faculty student committees are working at this matter. They have charge of the events and are accomplishing the desired end in most cases. Special contract forms, budgets required in advance and audits afterward, and the letting of contracts by committees composed of both men and women were proposed as corrective means.

 Dean Nicholson reports a considerable slump in the work of the college councils. The only progress that is being made is on the part of the all-university council and upon it the Dean bases his hope for the future. The other members of the discussional group were somewhat skeptical as to the value of the separate college councils.

 In Illinois, they have the town divided into student wards and the student council men are elected from these various wards, as a councilman from the first ward, councilman from the second ward, etc.

 **HOUSING PROBLEMS** by Dean T.A. Clark

 At Illinois, the former Y.M.C.A. building is now rented by the University at a rental of $5,000.00 per annum and is given over to the University Union. It accommodates about 120 men in the dormitory. Dean Clark has organized student self-government within the building for the maintenance of good order. He finds it quite successful on the whole. He is entirely in favor of the development of dormitories for men in which moderate rentals shall prevail.

 As to private lodging houses, Dean Clark reported his practice as follows: a man may move at the end of any month unless there is an express agreement for the semester. In the latter case, a man is held to his agreement. If the house is cold, or dirty, or if other conditions are bad, the Dean may give a man permission to move at any time.

 Regarding the charge during the holidays, Professor Strauss reported that at Michigan as a result of agitation by the Michigan Daily it has come to be settled practice that half rate is paid by the student during the holiday season. The participation in this discussion of the housing problem was general and a very great interest was displayed in it. Upon motion by
Professor Strauss, seconded by Dean Melcher, the conference discussed and adopted the following resolution;

“Resolved, that it is the opinion and recommendation of this Conference that the Universities represented should make every effort to control the living conditions of their students; this end can best be secured by the establishment of dormitories and commons for both men and women.”

Support of Student Activities, by Dean Nicholson.

The plan of a blanket tax on all students for the support of athletics, the Gopher, the Daily and one of two other all-university activities is being advocated at Minnesota, and will probably prevail. At the University of Kentucky a blanket tax of $4.50 which the students voted upon themselves is collected by the University for the support of the activities. At Minnesota, it is proposed that other new publications or activities which desire to be supported by the tax must first establish themselves and prove their merits and their right to such recognition. The plan in general is vigorously opposed by the athletic association for the reason that that income of the association would be greatly decreased thereby. It is not yet clear as to whether the plan will be adopted or not. At present the university publishes a daily bulletin which is sent to each student and professor. The expense to the institution is $20 per day. Dean Nicholson counts upon publishing this information in the student daily under the blanket tax plan in which case every student would receive the daily and that university would pay its share of costs for the space.

Dean Clark reported that at the University of Illinois the blanket tax idea has been opposed because of the many poor students who could not afford to pay it.

CONSIDERATION OF THE QUESTION OF SCHOLARSHIP

It was agreed by the members of the conference to collect and supply for our next year’s meeting data on the following topics:

A. What is being done to encourage better scholarship?
B. Dropping students because of poor scholarship
C. The number of students dropped for poor scholarship
D. What number of these dropped students reinstate themselves and how
E. Is there a considerable divergence of practice among the institutions with regard to dropping and readmitting poor students

Dean Rienow of Iowa will later send out a questionnaire and he will be asked to give a paper on this subject at next year’s meeting.

The members of the Conference were provided with tickets and invitations to attend the Military Ball on the evening of Friday, February 20, an opportunity which most of them gladly availed themselves.

*On Saturday morning the following letter was received from Dean Warnock:*

Pennsylvania State College
State College, Pa.

February 19, 1920

Office of the Dean of Men,
Dean S.H. Goodnight,
152 Administration Building,
Urbana, Illinois

My dear Dean Goodnight,

I find it will be impossible for me to attend the meeting of the Deans and Advisors of Men this week. I regret my inability to be present but wish to keep my interest in this conference. I hope next year to be present. If any minutes are kept or any of the proceedings published, I should be glad to have copies.

From my brief experience among the eastern institutions, I can say frankly that the middle west institutions are far in the lead in this kind of work. I find that the trustees and presidents of most eastern institutions are looking to the West and its work along this line. Many of them have plans to introduce this kind of work into their institutions. I think it would be extremely helpful to the whole educational world if the members of your conference would publish as much about their work as they can in the various educational journals.

Yours very truly,

(signed) A.R. Warnock
On Saturday noon, those members of the conference who were not obliged to leave early were delightfully entertained at luncheon by Dean and Mrs. Clark in their beautiful home and had the pleasure of meeting President and Mrs. Kinley.

Dean Clark and Assistant Dean Garmann proved most exemplary hosts. The perfection of their arrangements in every detail added a genuine pleasure to the profit which all members of the Conference derived from the discussions.

*In addition to the minutes of the second annual meeting, several newspaper accounts of the proceedings are available:*

“The Daily Illini, Tuesday, February 17, 1920

*Vol. XLIX, No. 102*

**DEANS OF MEAN TO HOLD CONFERENCE**

Representatives of 12 Schools will Gather Here Friday

**Dean Clark to Give Talk**

Deans of men from twelve colleges and universities throughout the Middle West will meet in conference Friday and Saturday at the University to discuss problems of student life. The meeting has been called here at the invitation of Dean Thomas Arkle Clark who was the first holder of that title, the office of the dean of men having been originated at the University in 1909.

Among those who will attend the conference are Dean A.R. Warnock of Pennsylvania State College, formerly assistant dean of men at the University, Dean S.H. Goodnight of the University of Wisconsin, Dean E.E. Nicholson, of the University of Minnesota, Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue University, Dean Clarence Edmondson of the University of Indiana, Dean
Robert Rienow of the University of Iowa, Dean C.R. Melchor of the University of Kentucky, and Dean A.R. Priest of the University of Washington.

Professor Louis A. Strauss will represent the University of Michigan, which has not yet created the office of the dean of men, although steps have been taken toward its inauguration. Another acceptance received by Dean Clark is that of Professor L.I. Reed who will come from Iowa State Teachers’ College. The University of Chicago and the University of Arkansas are also expected to send representatives.

Sessions will continue through Friday morning and afternoon and Saturday morning, opening with a discussion of ‘The Fraternity Situation’ by Dean Clark. Other topics will be health supervision, student standards, student self-government, the housing problem, student activities and the maintenance of scholarship standards. The meetings will be held in the Board of Trustees chamber, 354 Administration Building.”

“The Daily Illini, Tuesday, February 18, 1920
Vol. XLIX, No. 103

CLARK TO ADDRESS MEETING OF DEANS

Will Discuss Problems of Student Life Before College Representatives

Is Creator of Position

Dean Clark will deliver the principal address at the conference of deans which is to convene here Friday and Saturday to discuss problems of student life. His talk, ‘The Fraternity Situation’ will open the sessions. Representatives from twelve colleges and universities throughout the middle west have indicated that they will be here in response to the invitation of Dean Thomas Arkle Clark, who is father of the deans of men, having been the first to hold that title in the United States. The meetings will be held in the Board of Trustees chamber, 354 Administration Building.
Dena A.R. Warnock of Pennsylvania State College, formerly assistant dean of men at the University, will attend this conference. Among the others who will be here for the sessions are: Dean S.H. Goodnight of the University of Wisconsin, Dean E.E. Nicholson, University of Minnesota, Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue University, Dean Clarence Edmondson of the University of Indiana, Dean Robert Rienow of the University of Iowa, Dean C.R. Melcher of the University of Kentucky, and Dean A.R. Priest of the University of Washington.

**Michigan Represented**

Professor Louis A. Strauss will represent the University of Michigan, which has not yet created the office of dean of men, although steps have been taken towards its inauguration. Another acceptance received by Dean Clark is that of Professor L.I. Reed, who will come from Iowa State Teachers’ College. The University of Chicago and the University of Arkansas are also expected to send representative.

Among the topics to be discussed at the conference are student standards, health supervision, student self-government, student activities, the housing problem, and the maintenance of improved scholarship averages.”

“The Daily Illini, Friday, February 20, 1920
Vol. XLIX, No. 105

**DEANS TO CONSIDER STUDENT PROBLEMS**

First Session of Conference to be Opened By Dean Clark This Morning

Warnock Unable to Come

Deans of Men from a dozen universities in the middle west and western states will meet at 9 o’clock this morning in the board of trustees chamber, 354 Administration building, to discuss problems affecting student life and activities.

Most of the men who will take part in the conference arrived yesterday evening and the others are expected this morning in the time for the first session.
A.R. Warnock, dean of men at Pennsylvania State College and formerly assistant dean of men her, will be unable to come to the conference because of the pressure of registration duties.

Called by Dean Clark

The convention was called here by Dean Thomas Arkle Clark to deal with some of the problems connected with student life. Among the topics to be considered are student standards, health supervision, student self government, the housing problem, student activities and the maintenance of scholastic standards. Dean Clark is virtually dean of deans, being first holder of that title in the country. The office of the dean of men originated at the University in 1909.

Dean E.E. Nicholson of the University of Minnesota arrived yesterday morning and spent the entire afternoon at Dean Clark’s office. He utilized his time in familiarizing himself with the systematic arrangement which has been built up to take care of student records.”

*The Champaign News-Gazette, Friday, February 20, 1920
Vol. 25, No. 180.

DEANS TO MEET HERE TO DISCUSS MEN

Unbalanced post-war days present varied problems, but perhaps none is more difficult than that of working out efficient systems for the guidance of a big student body. Dean Thomas Arkle Clark and his colleagues are making an attempt by mooting in conference at the University to discuss important problems of modern student life.

The meeting of deans of men from 12 colleges and universities of the middle west was called her by Dean Clark who was the first holder of that title, the office of the dean of mean have been originated in the University in 1909. He opened the Friday morning session with a discussion of the fraternity situation. Other topics will center on student activities, maintenance of scholarship standards, student standards, self-government, and health supervision.

Those invited are Dean A.R. Warnock of Pennsylvania State College, formerly assistant dean of men at the University; Dean S.H. Goodnight of the University of Wisconsin, Dean E.E. Nicholson of the University of Minnesota, Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue University, Dean
Clarence Edmondson of the University of Indiana, Dean Robert Rienow of the University of Iowa, Dean C.R. Melcher of the University of Kentucky, Dean A.R. Priest of the University of Washington, and Professor L.I. Reed of the Iowa State Teachers’ College. Professor Louis A. Strauss will represent the University of Michigan which has not yet created the office of dean of men, although steps in that direction have been formally taken. The University of Chicago and University of Arkansas are also expected to send representatives.

Word has been received that Dean Warnock will unable to attend.

The members of the conference will be entertained Saturday noon at a luncheon in their honor at the home of Dean and Mrs. Clark.”

“The Daily Illini, Saturday, February 21, 1920
Vol. XLIX, No. 106

T.A. CLARK CHOSEN PRESIDENT OF MEN DEAN’S ASSOCIATION

Talks on Student Activities and Problems Make Up Conference Program

Plan Annual Convention

Dean Thomas Arkle Clark was elected president and Dean S.H. Goodnight of the University of Wisconsin, secretary of the newly formed association of deans of men of the middle west in the first session of the conference held at the University yesterday. Dean Robert Rienow of the University of Iowa, although unable to be present at the meeting here, will act with Dean Clark and Goodnight on the executive committee of the organization. The association will be permanent with the conference to be held annually at different universities, according to plans already formulated.

Dean Clark opened the meeting yesterday morning with a talk of the ‘The Fraternity Situation’, giving a brief sketch of the history of the Greek letter societies at the University and their influence on student life and activities. He laid particular emphasis on their scholastic
standing which in most institutions has taken a slump since the war and expressed the hope that within the next two years fraternities would be back on a normal scholarship basis.

**Health Measures Effective**

The Results of a Survey of Health Supervision Systems’ were outlined by Professor H.L. Rietz, formerly a member of faculty of the department of mathematics at the University, who represented the University of Iowa in the place of Dean Rienow. Professor Rietz described as effective the measures then to protect student health as most middle western universities, although few of them have adopted the hospital association system in use here.

Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue University, opened the afternoon session of the conference with a discussion of ‘Student Standards’. The three standards named by Dean Coulter, achievement, integrity and ethical standards of life – he characterized as being far beneath the desired level. ‘Student scholastic ideal are too low,’ he said, ‘and the prevalence of petty graft, cribbing and other forms of campus dishonesty leaves little doubt as to the degree of student integrity. The purpose of the dean of men should be to set ideals by which the student lives,’ he concluded.

**Student Government Topic**

Tracing the history of student self-control from its beginning when no restraint was placed upon campus life through all the later stages of faculty supervision, Dean E.E. Nicholson of the University of Minnesota, introduced the subject of ‘Self-Government.’ He mentioned chiefly the present-day tendency of most colleges and universities to permit the student control his own activities, once he has proved an ability and a willingness to do so.

The conference will continue until noon today. Topics to be considered this morning are ‘The Housing Problem’, ‘The Support of Student Activities’, by Dean Nicholson, and a round table discussion on ‘The Maintenance of Scholarship Standards’.

Dean Clark and Mrs. Clark will entertain the visiting deans and a few members of the University faculty at luncheon this soon.”

Vol. XLIX, No. 107

VISITING DEANS END SUCCESSFUL SESSION
Eight Deans of Men From Middle West Universities Attend; Form New Association

Housing Problem General

Deans of men from eight universities in the middle west ended their conference at the University yesterday noon, after a session which continued throughout the morning. The next meeting of the newly formed association will be held at the University of Iowa in 1921.

Discussion of the ‘Housing Problem’ which opened the morning session, revealed that rooming facilities in all the universities represented in the conference have been taxed this year as never before and that emergency measures have everywhere been necessary to relieve the congestion.

A recommendation offered by the deans as a means of solving the problem was embodied in a resolution declaring that the responsibility rests with university authorities to provide dormitories and commons for both men and women.

Need A Sanitary One

“Some provisions necessary to insure good sanitary conditions in the present overcrowded state of the university rooming and boarding houses,’ said Dean Thomas Arkle Clark.

Deans who attended the conference are Dean E.E. Nicholson of the University of Minnesota; Dean S.H. Goodnight of the University of Wisconsin; Dean C.E. Edmondson of the University Indiana; Dean C.R. Melcher of the University of Kentucky; Dean Stanley Coulter of Purdue University; Professor Louis A. Strauss of the University of Michigan and professor H.L. Rietz of the University of Iowa.

Dean A.R. Warnock of Pennsylvania State College, formerly assistant dean of men at the University, was unable to be present. Professor Rietz took the place of Dean Robert Rienow who was also prevented from attending.

Dean Clark and Mrs. Clark entertained the visiting deans at a luncheon yesterday noon. Other guests were President David Kinley and Mrs. Kinley, Professor H.L. Creek, Professor A.R. Seymour, formerly assistant dean of foreign student here, and Dean H.B. Garman.”
HOUSING PLANS ARE DISCUSSED AT UNIVERSITY

A resolution recommending the establishment of University dormitories and commons were passed at the last meeting of the conference of the association of deans of men which closed Saturday noon after a three-hour session.

Problems of housing students was the first question taken up. A comparison of the prices charged for room and board at different universities was discussed. Dean T. A. Clark told of the system which if being carried on in a small way at the Woman’s residence hall and the Illinois Union Building. He declared that the housing of students is a big problem at all universities. ‘Elaborate accommodations are not wanted but they should be comfortable and moderately priced, he declared and said further, ‘If we have a thousand more men here next year I don’t know how in the world that can be housed.’

Professor Rietz of Iowa told of making a men’s dormitory of the S.A.T.C. barracks at Iowa and explained that system of self government that has been installed in them. Dean Strauss of Michigan said that the Michigan Union and the landlords have settled the question of holiday rents by agreeing that half rents shall be paid for the period between semesters. Unit houses for women students, such as are at Illinois were approved. Most of the schools have these now.

Resolutions

The resolution as it was passed reads as follows: ‘Resolved: that it is the opinion and recommendation of this conference that the universities represented should make every effort to control the living conditions of their students; this end can best be secured by the establishment of dormitories and commons for both men and women.’

The plan of making quite a number of small dormitories rather than a few large ones was advocated by Dean Goodnight of Wisconsin. He said that they would cost less, the atmosphere of club life would prevail and discipline could be more easily obtained. He said that such a plan has proved successful at the Kohler plumbing works in Kohler, Wisconsin.

Managing Student Activities
Dean Nicholson of Minnesota gave a short talk on the management of student activities. The students of Minnesota are contemplating a blanket tax which will cover admission to all athletics, debating, and a subscription to the school paper. Dean Nicholson believes that it is best to concentrate on a few University activities rather than support many activities poorly.

Dean Melchor of Ken – (the next line was omitted from print) tax system which admitted the students to athletic games and entitled them to a subscription to the student paper. Dean Clark sad that the idea had often been brought up at Illinois but had never been adopted because so many students are working their way through school and it did not seem fair to make them pay extra tax when they really could not afford it.

Following a suggestion of Professor Rietz of Iowa, it was decided to bring to the next conference data on the scholarship of students and the per cent of those dropped for poor scholarship.

Middle West Leads

Dean Warnock of Pennsylvania, formerly assistant dean at Illinois, sent his regrets at not being able to be present. He stated that the middle west was far ahead of the east in the work that the deans were doing. ‘The heads of eastern Universities are looking to you for ideas,’ he wrote. He advised the publication of the work of the conference in educational journals of the country so that all may know what is being accomplished in the middle west.

It was decided to hold the next meeting of the conference at Iowa about the first of May next year.”

These letters, minutes, and newspaper accounts give us a fairly complete account of what transpired at the second annual conference. In summary account for inclusion in the appendix of the minutes of previous meeting, the topics discussed were as follows:

SECOND MEETING

1. Fraternity Problem
2. Results of a survey of health supervision standards.
3. Student standards
4. Student self government
5. The housing problem
6. The support of student activities

7. The maintenance of scholarship standards

This completes the record, in so far as it is obtainable for the first and second annual conferences of the Deans and advisors of men; this record should compete the minutes if the association and make available for all time, the records of how the association was started, who attended the first and second meetings, and what topics were discussed at the two original meetings.

Kari Ellingson, December 2017